

R E M A R K S

The office communication of March 15, 2006 has been reviewed and its contents carefully noted. Reconsideration of this case, as filed, is requested.

The examiner noted that the reply filed on January 10, 2006 was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action, because the Applicant has omitted the preliminary amendment filed with the RCE. In particular, the fax submitted in the petition on February 28, 2006 does not contain all pages (i.e. copy of preliminary amendment not submitted).

A true copy of the July 18, 2005 dated preliminary amendment containing amendments to the claims and remarks/arguments are attached hereto as **Exhibit "1"** in response to said Office communication.

CONCLUSION

Applicant believes no new search is needed by the Examiner. Applicant further believes the claims, as amended, are patentable over the prior art, and that this case is now in condition for allowance of all claims therein. Such action is thus respectfully requested. If the Examiner disagrees, or believes for any other reason that direct contact with Applicants' attorney would advance the prosecution of the case to finality, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

WU & CHEUNG, LLP

Dated: March 21, 2006

By: 

Charles C.H. Wu, Esq.

REG. NO. 39,081

98 DISCOVERY

IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92618-3105

TEL: 949-251-0111

FAX: 949-251-1588

USPTO CUSTOMER NO.: 25864